School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA may be found in the Addendum and Plan Requirements.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Brywood Elementary School	30-73650-6102719	May 22, 2023	

Educational Partner Involvement:

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Impact that Stakeholder Engagement had on the process

Brywood consulted with our School Site Council, ELAC, selected teachers, and members of the PTA while drafting our plan. The Hanover survey, Dashboard, and LCAP needs survey also played a role in determining needs. The latest Hanover Survey consisted of 336 students, 92 parents, and 27 staff members. Information was presented to the Leadership Team in April of this school year. An open forum is always allowed at PTA and Staff Meetings and that information is shared with the entire staff. All these discussions also provide information of what is important and a focus for the school community. Discussions are held to make the best informed decisions for the school community.

Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

English Learner Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Special Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	

Signature

Other committees established by the school or district (list):

Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on: May 26, 2022

Attested:

Timothy Moeller

5/26/2022

Typed Name of School Principal

Signature of School Principal

Date

5/26/2022

Nicole Chang

Typed Name of SSC Chairperson

Signature of SSC Chairperson

Date

School Site Council Membership

The School Plan for Student Achievement

The purpose of the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of state achievement standards. The SPSA must integrate the purposes and requirements of all categorical programs in which the school participates. The plan must be amended and approved by the local governing board at least annually. Whenever there are material changes that affect the academic program for students at the school, changes must be reviewed with School Site Council.

School Site Council Membership (SSC)

Education Code Section 64001 requires that this plan be reviewed and updated at least annually by the school site council and include the proposed expenditures of funds allocated through the Consolidated Application. The current make-up of the council is as follows:

		Group A		Group B		
Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students	
Timothy Moeller	1					
Freddi Siegel		1				
Julie Patterson		1				
Jo Ann Kearns		1				
Jennifer Martinez			1			
Mimi Su				1		
Christine Tully				1		
Nicole Chang				1		
Ricardo Albay				1		
Keith Hall				1		
Numbers of members of each category	1	3	1	5		
(Totals of Group A and Group B must equal)	Total Group A: 5			Total Group B: 5		

At elementary schools, the council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers and other school personnel and (b) parents of pupils attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must, in addition, be equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Teachers, other school personnel, parents and (at secondary schools) students select representatives to the council (Education Code 52012).

ELAC Membership

English Learner Advisory Committee

All IUSD schools with **21 or more English Learners** are required to establish an English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). (5 CCR 11308[b]) Any parent from the school may be nominated and elected to serve on the committee; however, parents of English learners should constitute at least the same percentage of the committee membership as their children represent of the student body. (EC52176[b]) There is no mandated size for the committee.

Membership

- 1. Has been elected by the parents or guardians of EL Students at the school site
- 2. Has had the opportunity to elect at least one member to the district's EL advisory committee (DELAC)
- 3. Has received appropriate training and materials and training to assist members in carrying out their legal responsibilities
- 4. ELAC's legal responsibilities are to advise the principal and staff on:
 - a. The development of the section in the SPSA pertaining to EL students' education
 - b. The development of the school's needs assessment
 - c. Implications of language census for translation requirement
 - d. Efforts to make parents aware of the importance of regular school attendance

Names of Members	Principal	Chair	DELAC Rep.	Other Member
Timothy Moeller	x			
Grace Kim		x		
Mira Sairawan			x	
Maryam Aghvami				x
Jun Yu				x
Jessie Huang				x
Numbers of members of each category	1			

Guidelines for Combining SSC and ELAC:

The school may designate an existing school level advisory committee, or subcommittee of such advisory committee to fulfill the legal responsibilities of ELAC if the advisory body meets the same criteria for the membership of the ELAC. (EC52176[b]{c}, 64001[a], 5 CCR 11308[d]) Check box below if site meets the above criteria and has chosen this option. If the site has chosen the option to combine, leave ELAC membership empty.

Site has chosen to combine SSC and ELAC using the above guidelines.

OR

XSite has chosen <u>NOT</u> to combine SSC and ELAC using the above guidelines.

Budgets and Summary

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance:

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in Form B must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

State Programs	Preliminary Allocation (Fall)	Amount Allocated in SPSA
Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) – Base Grant Purpose: To provide flexibility in the use of state and local funds by LEAs and schools	\$102,112.00	6,831.28
LCFF – Supplemental Grant Purpose: To provide a supplemental grant equal to 20 percent of the adjusted LCFF base grant for targeted disadvantaged students	\$40,414.00	
Lottery Funds Purpose: Purchase site instructional materials	\$5,370.00	
Total amount of state categorical funds allocated to this school	\$147,896	6,831.28
Federal Programs	Preliminary Allocation (Fall)	Amount Allocated in SPSA
Title I, Part A: Allocation (IUSD schools are School Wide) Purpose: To improve basic programs operated by local educational agencies (LEAs). 1% of funding is allocated for parental involvement. Purpose: Ensure that parents have information they need to make well-informed choices for their children, more effectively share responsibility with their children's schools, and help schools develop effective and successful academic programs.	\$0.00	
Title III Centralized Services Allocated - EL and Immigrant Students Purpose: to ensure English learners attain English proficiency, develop high levels of academic attainment in English, and meet the same challenging state academic standards as all other students. Purpose: to enhance instructional opportunities for immigrant students and their families and ensure that immigrant students meet the same challenging grade level and graduation standards as all other students.	\$0.00	
Total amount of federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$0	
Total amount of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$147,896	\$6,831.28

Section 2: Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 1

Goal Statement

Establish consistent social emotional learning and behavior practices that focus on the needs of the whole-child. Through the work of our MTSS, PBIS, and Leadership teams, we will build upon our culture of creating learning environments that allow each students to grow using multi-tiers levels of supports and professional learning that develops faculty response.

LCAP Goal: This school goal supports which of the following District LCAP Goals: (select all that apply)

LCAP Goal #1: Create a positive school climate and system of supports for student personal and academic growth LCAP Goal #2: Ensure all students attain proficiency in state standards through access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources and skills for all staff and students

X LCAP Goal #3: Address barriers limiting student participation in programs and provide equity in allocation of resources

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	Expected Outcome		
PBIS Data	Meet once a month to reflect and plan	Decrease in reports for AERIES discipline data		
Panorama Data	Analyze data of 2024 Panorama	Reflection and action planning based on results		
Results of Annual Survey	Analyze results of released 2024 survey	Increases in areas focused on school climate from all stake holders.		
Professional Development	Two hours of professional development for teacher during the 2023-2024 school year	Teachers will develop an understanding and implement appropriate lessons and strategies in the classroom		

Goal 2

Goal Statement

Brywood will build upon individual and team knowledge in using common formative assessments and student data to guide instruction and build upon the abilities of all students in math and reading.

LCAP Goal: This school goal supports which of the following District LCAP Goals: (select all that apply)

X LCAP Goal #1: Create a positive school climate and system of supports for student personal and academic growth
 X LCAP Goal #2: Ensure all students attain proficiency in state standards through access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources and skills for all staff and students

LCAP Goal #3: Address barriers limiting student participation in programs and provide equity in allocation of resources

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	Expected Outcome		
Hanover Survey	Per the Hanover Survey, 90 percent of students, 84 percent of parents, and 100 percent of staff at Brywood Elementary School report being satisfied or very satisfied with the overall educational experience at their school. At Brywood Elementary School, 92 percent of students, 90 percent of parents, and 100 percent of staff agree or strongly agree that teachers use a variety of strategies and activities to help students learn. At Brywood Elementary School, 63 percent of students and 93 percent of staff agree or strongly agree that their classes are engaging. Similarly, most students also agree that they understand the relationship between what they learn in class and their everyday life (79 percent).	Increases in areas focused on the instructional environment from all stake holders specifically in the areas of engagement.		
CAASP Results	Results of 2023 CAASP tests	Increased results on yearly CAASP who all students and targeted subgroups		
Star Reading and Math Assesments	Results of BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments	Increased results from 2021-2022 assessments		
Professional Development	Four hours of professional development focused on instruction and academics in the area of reading	Teachers will learn new skills and/or refocus on proven and established engaging strategies		

Goal 3

Goal Statement

Establish consistent practices that focus on the equity, excellence, diversity, and inclusion needs of our minority students.

LCAP Goal: This school goal supports which of the following District LCAP Goals: (select all that apply)

X LCAP Goal #1: Create a positive school climate and system of supports for student personal and academic growth
 X LCAP Goal #2: Ensure all students attain proficiency in state standards through access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources and skills for all staff and students

X LCAP Goal #3: Address barriers limiting student participation in programs and provide equity in allocation of resources

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline	Expected Outcome	
Community participation	At least 2 yearly events designed to promote engagement of families in this work	Increased family involvement	
Professional Development	Teachers participate in 2 hours of PD for the 2023-2023 school year	Increased use of targeted EEDI strategies and reflection	
Hanover Survey	Analyze results of released 2024 survey	Use results for reflection and planning for future steps	

Goal 4

Goal Statement

LCAP Goal: This school goal supports which of the following District LCAP Goals: (select all that apply)

LCAP Goal #1: Create a positive school climate and system of supports for student personal and academic growth

LCAP Goal #2: Ensure all students attain proficiency in state standards through access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources and skills for all staff and students

LCAP Goal #3: Address barriers limiting student participation in programs and provide equity in allocation of resources

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Baseline

Expected Outcome

Goal 5

Goal Statement

LCAP Goal: This school goal supports which of the following District LCAP Goals: (select all that apply)

LCAP Goal #1: Create a positive school climate and system of supports for student personal and academic growth

LCAP Goal #2: Ensure all students attain proficiency in state standards through access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources and skills for all staff and students

LCAP Goal #3: Address barriers limiting student participation in programs and provide equity in allocation of resources

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Baseline

Expected Outcome

Planned Strategies/Activities

Please list all strategies and/or activities along with their description, students to be served, person responsible, funding source, and total expenditure across the related goals. Then place an "X" in the box under each goal for which the strategy or activity applies.

	Strategy/Activity Description	Students to be Served	Person(s) Responsible		ng Source and Expenditures	Goal 1	Goal 2	Goal 3	Goal 4	Goal 5
1.	Professional Development	577	Principal,	LCAP B	500	x	x	x		
			TOSA		500					<u> </u>
2.	Community Circles	577	Principal, TOSA, ERC	Other	1000	x				
3.	Freckle Subscription	577	Principal	LCAP B	3674		х			
4.	Imagine Learning	577	Principal, TOSA	LCAP B	1126.70		Х			
5.										
6.										
7.										
8.										
9.										
10.										
11.	Appy Therapy	75	Principal, TOSA	LCAP B	300.00		Х			
12.	Benchmark Education	75	Principal, TOSA	LCAP B	296.73		Х			
13.	Brain Pop	75	Principal	LCAP B	175.00		х			
14.	Scholastic Magazines	300	Principal, TOSA	LCAP B	758.85		Х			
15.										
16.										

	Strategy/Activity Description	Students to be Served	Person(s) Responsible	Funding Source and Total Expenditures	Goal 1	Goal 2	Goal 3	Goal 4	Goal 5
17.									
18.									
19.									
20.									

Section 3: Supporting LCAP targeted student groups

English Language Learners:

How does this plan support the unique needs of English Language Learners at your site? How will Title III and LCAP Supplemental funds be used to support these students? (Be sure to address the following key components for effective language programs.)

- 1. English learners engage in intellectually rich, developmentally appropriate learning experiences that foster high levels of English proficiency.
- 2. Activities, strategies, and Professional learning are designed to:
 - 1. Create a culturally and linguistically responsive learning environment
 - 2. Include parent and family engagement that enhances learning support for their children
 - 3. Implement integrated and designated ELD to ensure language development occurs in and through subject matter learning
 - 4. Provide appropriate levels of scaffolding (substantial, moderate, light) to increase comprehension and participation
 - 5. Provide authentic practice and application for oral and written language skills development
- 3. Staff use formative assessment to:
 - 1. Understand, monitor, support, and report student learning and acquisition of language
 - 2. Evaluate the impact of strategies and activities implemented to meet the academic and language proficiency needs of English learners

Brywood's English Learners(EL) are mainstreamed in the General Education Classroom all day. Every year, EL students are monitored and have the opportunity to be redesignated based on academic criteria, teacher and parent input. As a school we have actively been monitoring, assessing, analyzing EL student data in order to reclassify students in a timely manner. We have been able to reduce our EL numbers through this process while supporting their academic learning as they transition to Fluent English Proficiency(FEP). Once EL Students are redesignated they are monitored for the next four years. This monitoring is completed in collaboration with the classroom teacher, EL Coordinator and Administrator. Currently there are 50 EL students K - 6th grade. All certificated teachers are CLAD certified in providing English Language Instruction across all academic areas. Teachers collaborate in Professional Learning Communities(PLC) by grade level. Teachers utilize state, district and classroom assessments to analyze EL students' needs. EL students will be supported for English development using visuals, scaffolding lessons, activating prior knowledge, building vocabulary. Teachers monitor EL students throughout the year using informative and formative assessments. Instructional Aides are used to support instruction under the direction of classroom teachers. They are expected to understand what they are learning and orally identify their targeted learning with others.

As teachers meet in PLCs weekly, EL students benefit from this collaboration because of resources and ideas that are shared. PLC are times for teachers to delve deeper into the data specially for our EL students. ELPAC, CAASPP, District and Classroom Assessment are analyzed and used to monitor student progress. The EL coordinator will meet with PLCs or teachers individually to assess EL needs and support teachers with specific situations. Teachers utilize the district sponsored curriculum, Year at a Glance and ELA/ELD correlation to plan, develop and teach our EL students. This year we were able to provide pull out EL support for our EL students who were Level 1 or 2. These students had decline the Newcomer's Program for the 2021-2022 school year. They got small group instruction 4 days a week for 6 months.

EL students are also provided opportunities to Speak and Listen in English through oral and video presentations, poems, groups projects, video recordings and read alouds. Technology is used to enhance student learning and demonstrate language ability.

All families are invited to attend Family Engagement programs at Brywood. During these programs/events there is time spent on speaking to the needs of EL students and families. Discussion on how it looks or what is needed for EL students are shared with families. We have also created an English Learner Advisory Council(ELAC) separate from other committees to meet the needs of our EL students and families. This year will focus on Social Justice, Social Emotional Learning, academic support at home and State testing (CAASPP and ELPAC). Our ELAC will meet four times a year and also represent Brywood at the District ELAC (DELAC) meetings throughout year. Due to the circumstances(COVID-19) of this year any Family Engagement Programs will be held virtually. We are in discussions with PTA to offer virtual field trips, zoom connections for families, PTA general assembly meetings and other events throughout the year. These programs or events would be offered to EL families.

Low-Income/Foster Youth Students:

How does this plan support the unique needs of low-income/foster youth students at your site? How will Title I and LCAP Supplemental funds be used to support these students? (Be sure to address the following key components for effective targeted programs).

- 4. Provide opportunities for all students, including low-income and foster youth, to meet the challenging state academic standards through:
 - 1. The implementation of scientifically-based strategies that strengthen the core academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum.
 - 2. The implementation of activities that address the needs of all students in the school, with a particular focus on low-income/foster youth, in meeting the challenging state academic standards. This could include strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas; the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior; professional development for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and the use of data; and preparation for or building awareness of postsecondary opportunities.

Every student at Brywood has equal access to the academic curriculum including our Low-income/Foster Youth Students. Through PLCs, teachers will focus on data (state, district and classroom assessment) in order to provide for our unique needs of low-income/foster youth. If our low-income/foster youth students are identified as at-risk, intervention will be provided through small group and one-on-one targeted instruction. In Virtual Learning, intervention is built into the schedule. Students will meet with teachers virtually and be provided directed instruction. Brywood utilizes research based intervention curriculum to bring all students up to grade level standards. Lexia, Reading fluency and Math Running Records were available this year for our at risk students to work independently at their pace with targeted lessons. This year besides interventions in the classroom we were able to provide pull out intensive intervention in the area of ELA for our at-risk students. Students were pulled out 4 times a week to work on their targeted skill all year. We also had one of our Instructional Aides provide math intervention (Do the Math) with our at-risk students in 4th grade. Priority is given to our low-income/foster youth and at-risk students. This year we will continue our focus on Social Emotional learning and Social Justice issues. We are providing Social Emotional support through Mindfulness activities in the classroom, Community Circles, Guidance Assistance, Elementary Resource Counselor, Psychologist and School Support TOSA. Teachers attended a professional development on Equity this year at our school site.

When a teacher needs assistance they are able to collaborate with their PLC team first. After collaboration, a teacher can submit a Request for Assistance Form in order to meet with the MTSS (multi-tiered System of support) team where strategies and support is provided to work on the specific needs of each student. If more intervention is needed a SST meeting is set up with family to provide more support for students. Through Brywood's PBIS (positive behavior system) students are explicit taught positive behaviors in the beginning of the school year. Periodic review of Brywood's SCORE behaviors are done throughout the school year by classroom teacher and administrators.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions

1. Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. As such, the SPSA should be shared with, and schools should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g. School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.).

Involvement Process: Directions included in document.

Impact of stakeholder consultations: How did the engagement process impact the final SPSA plan?

School Site Council Membership: At elementary schools, the council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers and other school personnel and (b) parents of pupils attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must, in addition, be equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Teachers, other school personnel, parents and (at secondary schools) students select representatives to the council (Education Code 52012).

English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC): All IUSD schools with 21 or more English Learners are required to establish an English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). (5 CCR 11308[b]) Any parent from the school may be nominated and elected to serve on the committee; however, parents of English learners should constitute at least the same percentage of the committee membership as their children represent of the student body. (EC52176[b]) There is no mandated size for the committee.

2. Needs Assessment

LCAP Goal #1 Summary of Analysis: Summarize site strengths and needs related to student proficiency in the content standards, including a summary of data used in the analysis, and a summary of any performance gaps in student achievement (areas in red, orange or yellow) on the English Language Arts and mathematics sections of the CA Dashboard. This section must include a reference to data from the CA Dashboard. Below are possible data sources and questions to consider as you complete your analysis:

Relevant Data Sources: **CA Dashboard (Required)** Universal Screening Assessments (K-8) District End of Course Exams Common Formative Assessments

Questions to Consider:

Are there any content areas or grade levels that may benefit from additional support? Do any performance gaps exist (e.g., for EL, low-income, or foster youth)?

LCAP Goal #2 Summary of Analysis: Summarize site strengths and needs around access to rigorous and relevant learning tools, resources, and skills, including a summary of data used in the analysis. Below are possible data sources and questions to consider:

Relevant Data Sources: BrightBytes Survey School technology access Professional Learning Plan

Questions to Consider: What additional tools, resources, or trainings might be needed? What needs would these tools, resources or trainings serve and for whom?

LCAP Goal #3 Summary of Analysis: Summarize site strengths and needs regarding a positive school culture and system of supports, including a summary of data used in the analysis. This section must include a reference to data from the Annual Survey. Below are possible data sources and questions to consider as you complete your analysis:

Relevant Data Sources:

Annual Survey (Required)

Office discipline referrals (SWIS Data), suspensions and expulsions Attendance data Site based surveys (PLC implementation, PBIS Self-Assessment Survey) Records of school support systems and processes, including available interventions and enrichment supports

Questions to Consider:

What are some areas of potential growth within our school culture and climate? What aspects of core instruction, intervention, or enrichment might be improved to better support student academic, behavioral, and social-emotional growth? How might we improve our process for identifying students who need additional support, matching supports to individual needs, and monitoring progress?

LCAP Goal #4 Summary of Analysis: Summarize site strengths and needs regarding communication and strategic alliances, including a summary of data sources used in the analysis. This section must include a reference to data from the Annual Survey. Below are possible data sources and questions to consider as you complete your analysis:

Relevant Data Sources:

Annual Survey (Required) SSC participation and attendance at PTA Meetings Communication plans or site procedures Community partnerships

Questions to Consider:

What aspects of communication and parent engagement might be improved? What strategic alliances are in place to support our school vision? Are any additional alliances needed?

Areas in Which School Has Made Progress: Include a summary of school strengths and/or areas in which progress has been made in relation to one or more of the four district LCAP goals.

Prioritize Two or More Key Areas of Need to Address this Year: Based on your analysis of site strengths and areas for potential improvement, prioritize two or more key areas of need for your school. These areas will provide the basis for your school goals and should be related to one or more of the district LCAP goals. Each key area may include multiple strategies within your school plan.

3. Goals, Strategies and Proposed Expenditures

Goal Statement: State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve? A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

LCAP Goal: Identify which LCAP goal(s) this site goal supports.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: In the table, identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Planned Strategies and Activities:

1. <u>Strategy/Activity Description</u>: Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. Strategies and activities that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.(Each goal can have one or more identified strategies and/or actions.)

***Title 1 Schools**: A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp is required to describe in their strategies and activities how they will address specific state and federal requirements. A list of these requirements may be found in Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp. At a minimum a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp must address these requirements; however, a school may describe additional strategies/activities as well.

- 2. <u>Students to be Served</u>: Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.
- 3. <u>Person(s) Responsible</u>: Identify who will be responsible for ensuring that the strategy/action is implemented as planned.
- **4.** <u>Proposed Expenditure and Funding Source</u>: For each strategy/activity, list and describe the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities, including where those expenditures can be found in the school's budget (drop down menu under Funding Source).

5. Supporting Targeted Groups

Directions included in the document.

6. Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA.

The budget summary should be completed as follows:

- 1. **Preliminary Allocation**: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through State and Federal programs for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which the SPSA is adopted or updated. (Completed by district in fall)
- 2. **Funds Allocated in Plan**: Total Funds site has allocated for strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once. (Data collected from funds allocated in your plan.)

Title I

The Title 1, Part A program requires the district to reserve funds for parent involvement purposes (minimum of 1%). IUSD has chosen to allocate these earmarked funds to the sites and to monitor expenditures. The Title 1, Part A program also requires the district to reserve some funding for serving homeless students prior to allocating funds to the sites. IUSD reserves \$5,000 for these purposes annually.

Title III – LEP and Immigrant

The Title III plan includes the integrated design and implementation of effective district-wide instructional programs for English Language Learners and Immigrant students. Title III funding is centralized to ensure appropriate levels of instructional supports that include 1) paraprofessionals and co-teaching models in the Newcomer/SEI classrooms, 2) extended learning and intersession opportunities, 3) high quality professional development for teachers and support staff in meeting the needs of ELL and immigrant students, and 4) Parent Outreach and Involvement Activities that provide multiple ways for parents/guardians to be involved in the work of the school and the learning of their students.

Programs Included in this Plan (Allocation as of Fall)

The amounts listed in this plan reflect the state and federal programs in which the school participates. The amounts allocated for each program are entered by the district. The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal programs in which the school participates. The totals on these pages should match the cost estimates in Form A and the school's allocation from the ConApp.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.
- Explain any material differences between Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measureable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp

Basic Plan Requirements

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement. School goals must be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data and may include any data voluntarily developed by districts to measure student achievement.

The SSC is required to develop the SPSA, which must address each of the following, as applicable:

- 1. A description of curricula, instructional strategies and materials responsive to the individual needs and learning styles of each student (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 2. A description of instructional and auxiliary services to meet the special needs of non-English-speaking or limited-English-speaking students, including instruction in a language these students understand; educationally disadvantaged students; gifted and talented students; and students with exceptional needs (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 3. A description of a staff development program for teachers, other school personnel, paraprofessionals, and volunteers, including those participating in special programs (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 4. An identification of the schools' means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing its goals (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes) and an ongoing evaluation of the educational program of the school (described in the Annual Review and Update).
- 5. A description of how funds provided to the school through the ConApp will be used to improve the academic performance of all pupils to the level of state performance goals (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- 6. The proposed expenditures of funds available to the school through the programs described in EC Section 52851. For purposes of this subdivision, proposed expenditures of funds available to the school must include, but not be limited to, salaries and staff benefits for persons providing services for those programs (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- 7. The proposed expenditure of funds available to the school through the federal Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 and its amendments. If the school operates a state-approved schoolwide program in a manner consistent with the expenditure of funds available to the school pursuant to EC Section 52851, employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- 8. A description of how state and federal law governing programs identified in EC Section 64000 will be implemented, as applicable (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 9. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC.

Authority cited: EC sections 64001(f)-(g) and 52853(a)(1)-(7).

Schoolwide Program Requirements

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and operating a schoolwide program (SWP) must describe how the school will carry out each of the following components:

- 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will
 - a. provide opportunities for all students, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners, to meet the challenging state academic standards.
 - b. use effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically based research that
 - i. strengthen the core academic program in the school;
 - ii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;
 - iii. increase the amount and quality of learning time;
 - iv. include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically underserved populations;
 - v. help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum; and
 - vi. are consistent with, and are designed to implement, state and local improvement plans, if any.
 - c. address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards, through activities which may include the following:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- 2. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
- 3. A description of how the school will ensure instruction by highly qualified teachers and provide ongoing professional development, including
 - a. strategies to attract highly qualified teachers;
 - b. providing high-quality and ongoing professional development that is aligned with the state's academic standards for teachers, principals, paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff, to enable all students in the school to meet the state's academic standards;
 - c. the devotion of sufficient resources to effectively carry out professional development activities; and
 - d. the inclusion of teachers in professional development activities regarding the use of academic assessments to enable them to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.
- 4. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- 5. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - a. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - b. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- 6. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- 7. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR) sections 200.27(a)(3)(i)-(iii) and 200.28 and section 1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA.

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level								
Questa		Number of Students						
Grade	20-21	21-22	22-23					
Kindergarten	24	51	51					
Grade 1	30	58	69					
Grade 2	27	51	67					
Grade3	39	63	65					
Grade 4	33	88	103					
Grade 5	45	91	101					
Grade 6	54	97	104					
Total Enrollment	252	499	560					

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment							
Of a loss of Oregon	Num	ber of Stud	lents	Percent of Students			
Student Group	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	
English Learners	52	63	54	20.6%	12.6%	9.6%	
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	25	93	128	9.9%	18.6%	22.9%	
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	27			51.9%			

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stud	ents				
Grade	# of Stu	udents E	nrolled	# of S	tudents 1	Tested	# of \$	Students	with	% of Er	nrolled St	tudents
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 3	38	65		0	63		0	63		0.0	96.9	
Grade 4	34	96		0	95		0	95		0.0	99.0	
Grade 5	48	95		0	93		0	93		0.0	97.9	
Grade 6	55	100		0	97		0	97		0.0	97.0	
All Grades	175	356		0	348		0	348		0.0	97.8	

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade	Mean Scale Score			%	Standa	ard	% St	andarc	l Met	% Sta	ndard	Nearly	% St	andarc	l Not
Level	20-21 21		22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 3		2488.			61.90			17.46			11.11			9.52	
Grade 4		2535.			54.74			24.21			9.47			11.58	
Grade 5		2588.			62.37			17.20			6.45			13.98	
Grade 6		2616.			56.70			28.87			8.25			6.19	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		58.62			22.41			8.62			10.34	

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts												
Orredo Laval	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard			
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23			
Grade 3		49.21			42.86			7.94				
Grade 4		47.37			45.26			7.37				
Grade 5		51.61			39.78			8.60				
Grade 6		51.55			42.27			6.19				
All Grades		50.00			42.53			7.47				

	Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing											
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Stan	dard			
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23			
Grade 3		25.40			63.49			11.11				
Grade 4		44.21			46.32			9.47				
Grade 5		49.46			35.48			15.05				
Grade 6		50.52			41.24			8.25				
All Grades		43.97			45.11			10.92				

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
	% At	ove Stan	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	low Stan	dard		
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 3		26.98			66.67			6.35			
Grade 4		29.47			61.05			9.47			
Grade 5		29.03			63.44			7.53			
Grade 6		24.74			69.07			6.19			
All Grades		27.59			64.94			7.47			

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
Orreste Laurel	% Al	oove Star	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard		
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 3		49.21			42.86			7.94			
Grade 4		33.68			58.95			7.37			
Grade 5		50.54			35.48			13.98			
Grade 6		44.33			50.52			5.15			
All Grades		43.97			47.41			8.62			

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stud	ents				
Grade	# of St	udents E	nrolled	# of S	tudents ⁻	Tested	# of	Students	with	% of Er	nrolled S	tudents
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 3	38	65		0	64		0	64		0.0	98.5	
Grade 4	34	96		0	96		0	96		0.0	100.0	
Grade 5	48	95		0	94		0	94		0.0	98.9	
Grade 6	55	100		0	99		0	99		0.0	99.0	
All Grades	175	356		0	353		0	353		0.0	99.2	

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade	Mean	Scale	Score	%	Standa	ard	% Standard Met % Standard Nearly % S						tandard Not		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 3		2488.			54.69			23.44			10.94			10.94	
Grade 4		2538.			53.13			18.75			16.67			11.46	
Grade 5		2562.			62.77			10.64			7.45			19.15	
Grade 6		2616.			52.53			25.25			16.16			6.06	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A		55.81			19.26			13.03			11.90	

	Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures											
One de La const	% At	oove Star	dard	% At o	r Near Sta	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard			
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23			
Grade 3		68.75			21.88			9.38				
Grade 4		55.21			31.25			13.54				
Grade 5		54.26			25.53			20.21				
Grade 6		54.55			35.35			10.10				
All Grades		57.22			29.18			13.60				

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems												
	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near Sta	andard	% Ве	low Stan	dard			
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23			
Grade 3		53.13			29.69			17.19				
Grade 4		53.13			33.33			13.54				
Grade 5		51.06			34.04			14.89				
Grade 6		42.42			48.48			9.09				
All Grades		49.58			37.11			13.31				

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions											
Orregia Laurel	% AI	oove Star	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Be	elow Stan	dard		
Grade Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23		
Grade 3		45.31			43.75			10.94			
Grade 4		52.08			39.58			8.33			
Grade 5		47.87			36.17			15.96			
Grade 6		42.42			50.51			7.07			
All Grades		47.03			42.49			10.48			

ELPAC Results

	ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade		Overall		Ora	al Langu	age	Writt	en Lang	uage		lumber o dents Te		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	
к	*	*		*	*		*	*		5	6		
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		6	6		
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		6	8		
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		10	5		
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		7	8		
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		6	7		
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	8		
All Grades										43	48		

	Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade	Grade Level 4		Ļ	Level 3		Level 2		Level 1			Total Number of Students				
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
к	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	32.56	35.42		34.88	27.08		6.98	8.33		25.58	29.17		43	48	

	Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade			Ļ		Level 3	;	Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
к	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	39.53	50.00		27.91	12.50		11.63	12.50		20.93	25.00		43	48	

	Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
Grade	Grade Level 4					Level 3		Level 2		Level 1			Total Number of Students		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
К	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	25.58	16.67		34.88	33.33		9.30	14.58		30.23	35.42		43	48	

	Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students											
Grade	We	ll Develo	ped	Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
к	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	37.21	37.50		51.16	35.42		11.63	27.08		43	48	

	Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students											
Grade	Wel	II Developed		Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
К	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	41.86	54.17		37.21	18.75		20.93	27.08		43	48	

	Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students			
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	
к	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
All Grades	27.91	27.08		44.19	43.75		27.91	29.17		43	48		

	Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students											
Grade	Wel	ll Develo	ped	Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
Level	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
К	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
4	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
5	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
6	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	34.88	25.00		41.86	41.67		23.26	33.33		43	48	

Student Population

For the past two years, many state and federal accountability requirements were waived or adjusted due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on LEAs, schools, and students. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, the requirements to hold schools and districts accountable for student outcomes has returned with the release of the 2022 California School Dashboard (Dashboard). The Every Student Succeeds Act is requiring all states to determine schools eligible for support. Similarly, under state law, Assembly Bill (AB) 130, which was signed into law in 2021, mandates the return of the Dashboard using only current year performance data to determine LEAs for support. Therefore, to meet this state requirement, only the 2021-22 school year data will be reported on the 2022 Dashboard for state indicators. (Data for Change [or the difference from prior year] and performance colors will not be reported.)

This section provides information about the school's student population.



2021-22 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group									
Student Group	Total	Percentage							
English Learners	63	12.6							
Foster Youth	1	0.2							
Homeless									
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	83	16.6							
Students with Disabilities	75	15.0							

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity								
Student Group	Total	Percentage						
African American	11	2.2						
American Indian								
Asian	249	49.9						
Filipino	16	3.2						
Hispanic	59	11.8						
Two or More Races	62	12.4						
Pacific Islander								
White	100	20.0						

Conclusions based on this data:

- **1.** Our ELL population makes up 12.6% of our population.
- **2.** 16.6% of our students qualify at socioeconomically disadvantaged.
- 3. No students at Brywood qualify as homeless while .2 are foster youth.

Overall Performance

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).





Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. Our PBIS system is proving successful as evidenced by our suspension rate.
- 2. We need to target chronic absenteeism as it falls in the medium range.
- 3. Our students population overall performs very high in academics.

Academic Performance English Language Arts

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

	2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report										
Very Low	Very Low Medium High Very High										
0	1	0	3	3							

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.





This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners										
Reclassified English Learners	English Only									
84.6 points above standard	78.7 points above standard									
66 Students	211 Students									
	Reclassified English Learners 84.6 points above standard									

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. We must continue to focus on the academics of our students with disabilities as they are currently scoring 48.6 points below the standard.
- 2. Our Asian population performs the highest overall, but whites and Hispanics are also high performers.

Academic Performance Mathematics

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

	2022 Fall Dashboard Mathamtics Equity Report										
Very Low	Very Low Medium High Very High										
0	1	1	3	2							

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.





This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners				
Current English Learner Reclassified English Learners English Only				
76.3 points below standard 16 Students	61.7 points above standard 66 Students	54.4 points above standard 211 Students		

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. We must continue to focus on the academics of our students with disabilities as they are currently scoring 68.3 points below the standard in mathematics.
- 2. Our Asian population performs the highest overall, but whites are also high performers.
- **3.** We need to dig deeper into the math performance of our hispanic population as they are .9 points below the standard.

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

This section provides information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results					
Decreased One ELPI LevelMaintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3HMaintained ELPI Level 4Progressed At Least One ELPI Level					
5.7%	34.3%	5.7%	54.3%		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our english learners perform high on their progress towards English language proficiency.

Academic Performance College/Career Report

College/Career data provides information on whether high school students are prepared for success after graduation based on measures like graduation rate, performance on state tests, and college credit courses. College/Career data was not reported in 2022.

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report					
Very High High Medium Low Very Low					
1	3	2	1	0	

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.





Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. We need to address our overall chronic absenteeism rate.
- 2. Two groups that need to be specifically targeted are our students with disabilities and our socioeconomically disadvantaged.

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Very Low Lowest Performance	Low	Medium	High	Very High Highest Performance
This section provides num	<u> </u>	s in each level.	Equity Report	

Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2022 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group					
All Students English Learners Foster Youth					
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities			

2022 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity					
African American American Indian Asian Filipino					
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White		

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the <u>Dashboard Communications Toolkit</u>.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report					
Very High High Medium Low Very Low					
0	0	1	0	6	

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.





Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our PBIS program is successful as evidenced by this data.